Upper Peninsula Generation Integration Screening Study

September 2016

ATC voluntarily performed a high level, steady-state screening of transmission facilities in Michigan's Upper Peninsula. This was done to assist generation developers with the preliminary identification of potential locations where existing transmission facilities may be able to accommodate the addition of new and/or additional generation capacity. All potential locations were screened for single contingency steady-state limitations. Locations that could not accommodate generation for a single contingency were removed from the Tables that were produced through this effort. ATC has not performed any analysis to identify the scope or cost of work to eliminate the limit(s) that were identified for any of the contingencies that were noted. ATC may choose to perform similar screening studies of other portions of its footprint in the future, as system conditions and circumstances warrant.

Additional steady state, multiple contingency analysis was performed for locations that appeared to be capable of hosting 100 MW or more of generation under steady state, single contingency conditions. The multiple contingency analysis resulted in reduced generation capacity from the single contingency screen being indicated for some locations. Other locations could not accommodate any new generation under multiple contingency conditions and, as such, were removed from the Tables. ATC has not performed any analysis to identify the scope or cost of work to eliminate the limit(s) that were identified for any of the contingencies that were noted.

ATC's screening did not include any stability analysis. Previous studies in the UP have identified sensitivity to stability issues. Since different types of generating units may have substantially different stability performance characteristics, a stability analysis would not be generally applicable. Furthermore, this study did not consider the number or size of units necessary to be a replacement for Presque Isle Power Plant. Finally, the study analyzed only one potential generation site at a time and, as such, the results are not necessarily additive.

The Tables that follow below identify the location, screening results and the U.P. sub-zone where existing transmission facility is located. The attached map is divided into six sub zones for ease in finding the locations identified in the Tables. Tables 1 illustrates the results of the multiple contingency analysis. Table 2 provides the results of the single contingency analysis sorted by sub-zone.

Additional disclaimers: This was a high level screening study using a single steady-state model and a particular set of assumptions, as described herein. The study results listed in the Tables below may not be indicative of the results that would be produced via the MISO Tariff Attachment X Generation Interconnection process. System stability, both angular and voltage, were not considered in this screening study. ATC makes no representations, either expressed or implied, that the scope of the interconnection facilities or transmission upgrades required to connect generation at these sites would be minimal, or even feasible. Single contingency screening results do not reflect any possible reductions required for multiple contingencies. The analysis considered 69kV, 138kV and 345kV nodes in the power flow model, but did not consider actual bus configuration or the existence of buses for constructability at the locations that were studied. Corresponding interconnection facilities and transmission upgrades

will be determined by the MISO Tariff Attachment X process. This non-binding, voluntary study is presented for informational purposes only and ATC makes no guarantee or warranty that the information presented herein is accurate or complete.

Additional Steady- State Analysis Base Assumptions

Presque Isle Generating Plant Output: 0 MW Interconnection with the City of Marquette: 0 MW interchange Mackinac HVDC flow modeled as: 20 MW North to South White Pine Generating Plant Output: 0 MW Empire Mine Load: 0 MW

Preliminary Results with Multiple Contingency Screen					
Table 1					
Location	Voltage	Potential Generation Amount (MW)	Sub Zone	Contingency Screen	
Atlantic	69kV	77	1	Multiple	
M-38	138kV	75	1	Multiple	
Presque Isle	138kV	274	3	Multiple	
National	138kV	260	3	Multiple	
Empire	138kV	240	3	Multiple	
Freeman	138kV	149	3	Multiple	
Big Bay	138kV	136	3	Multiple	
Tilden	138kV	124	3	Multiple	
Barnum	138kV	107	3	Multiple	
North Lake	138kV	107	3	Multiple	
Perch Lake	138kV	103	3	Multiple	

Preliminary Results Using Single Contingency Screen Table 2				
M-38	69kV	68	1	Single
Elevation St.	69kV	61	1	Single
Winona	69kV	60	1	Single
Atlantic	138kV	59	1	Single
Winona	138kV	58	1	Single
Boston	69kV	56	1	Single
Osceola	69kV	56	1	Single
Mass	69kV	50	1	Single
Henry St.	69kV	48	1	Single
MTU	69kV	48	1	Single
Lake Mine	69kV	39	1	Single
Toivola	69kV	39	1	Single
Ontonagon	69kV	37	1	Single
Ontonagan	138kV	34	1	Single
Portage	69kV	33	1	Single
White Pine Mine	69kV	33	1	Single
Rockland	69kV	32	1	Single
White Pine Village	69kV	32	1	Single
Baraga	69kV	31	1	Single
L'Anse	69kV	30	1	Single
UPSCO	69kV	27	1	Single
Victoria	69kV	26	1	Single
Keweenaw	69kV	21	1	Single
Twin Lakes	138kV	77	2	Single
Aspen	69kV	70	2	Single
Iron Grove	69kV	55	2	Single
Lakota Rd.	138kV	47	2	Single
Strawberry Hill	69kV	41	2	Single
Crystal Falls	69kV	40	2	Single
Peavy Falls	69kV	35	2	Single
Lincoln	69kV	32	2	Single
Florence	69kV	30	2	Single
Lakehead	69kV	25	2	Single
Pine	69kV	22	2	Single
Conover	69kV	20	2	Single
Lakota Rd.	69kV	20	2	Single
Michigamme	69kV	16	2	Single

Preliminary Results Using Single Contingency Screen					
Table 2 (Continued)					
Location	Voltage	Potential Generation Amount (MW)	Sub Zone	Contingency Screen	
Bruce Crossing	69kV	15	2	Single	
Land O Lakes	69kV	15	2	Single	
Watersmeet	69kV	13	2	Single	
Forsyth	69kV	93	3	Single	
North Lake	69kV	60	3	Single	
Barnum	69kV	52	3	Single	
Alger Delta	69kV	46	3	Single	
Chatham	69kV	46	3	Single	
Munising	69kV	46	3	Single	
Forest Lake	69kV	45	3	Single	
AD Hiawatha	69kV	44	3	Single	
Mineral Proc.	69kV	43	3	Single	
Munising	138kV	40	3	Single	
Gwinn	69kV	39	3	Single	
Timber Products	69kV	29	3	Single	
Greenstone	69kV	25	3	Single	
Sawyer	69kV	21	3	Single	
MTF	69kV	13	3	Single	
Perch Lake	69kV	13	3	Single	
Randville	69kV	73	4	Single	
Watson	69kV	51	4	Single	
Mountain	69kV	48	4	Single	
Harris	69kV	36	4	Single	
Sagola	69kV	34	4	Single	
Old Mead Rd.	69kV	86	5	Single	
Lakehead Rapid River	69kV	56	5	Single	
North Bluff	69kV	53	5	Single	
Masonville	69kV	52	5	Single	
West Side	69kV	51	5	Single	
Bay View	69kV	50	5	Single	
Cornell	69kV	48	5	Single	
Escanaba	69kV	45	5	Single	
Gladstone	69kV	45	5	Single	
Blaney Park	69kV	84	6	Single	
Engadine	69kV	84	6	Single	
Valley	69kV	83	6	Single	
Gould City	69kV	82	6	Single	
Curtis	69kV	81	6	Single	
Manistique	69kV	73	6	Single	

Preliminary Results Using Single Contingency Screen						
	Table 2 (Continued)					
Location	Voltage	Potential Generation Amount (MW)	Sub Zone	Contingency Screen		
Glen Jenks	69kV	59	6	Single		
3 Mile	69kV	54	6	Single		
9 Mile	69kV	54	6	Single		
Newberry	69kV	49	6	Single		
Sault	69kV	49	6	Single		
Louisiana Pacific	69kV	48	6	Single		
NBHSPL 69	69kV	48	6	Single		
Newberry Village	69kV	48	6	Single		
Roberts	69kV	47	6	Single		
Portage St	69kV	46	6	Single		
Tone	69kV	42	6	Single		
Kincheloe	69kV	41	6	Single		
Rudyard	69kV	41	6	Single		
Eckerman	69kV	39	6	Single		
Hulbert	69kV	39	6	Single		
MI Limestone	69kV	37	6	Single		
Raco	69kV	37	6	Single		
Rexton	69kV	36	6	Single		
Rockview	69kV	36	6	Single		
Brimley	69kV	35	6	Single		
Trout Lake	69kV	34	6	Single		
Pine Grove	69kV	33	6	Single		
Detour	69kV	32	6	Single		
Goetzville	69kV	32	6	Single		
Magazine	69kV	32	6	Single		
Pickford	69kV	32	6	Single		
Seney	69kV	31	6	Single		
Talentino	69kV	31	6	Single		
Dafter	69kV	27	6	Single		
St. Ignace	69kV	26	6	Single		
MLQ	69kV	25	6	Single		

