U.P. Energy Summit Policy Developments Transmission

Tom Finco Director – External Relations

October 6, 2010

Helping to **keep the lights on**, businesses running and communities strong®



Today's Agenda

- Background for Today's Discussion
- FERC Update

-Transmission planning and cost allocation

-Midwest ISO "Multi Value Project" (MVP)



The transmission landscape is evolving...



Wellinghoff: FERC May Rule On Who Builds Transmission

BY JEFF BEATTIE

Weighing in on a divisive electric industry policy debate, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Chairman Jon Wellinghoff said last we the commission likely will elecide soon whether to significantly alter FERC Chairman Wellinghoff...

- "This is, I think, something that's going to be one of our challenges in the future—who should build transmission lines,"
- "This is a country where transmission lines have traditionally been built by the incumbents who serve that area; the question is whether we should continue that policy in the future,"



Transmission investment is a key driver of growth within the electric industry...





Monday, August 02, 2010 5:10 PM ET

UPDATE: Ameren creates transmission-only subsidiary to

compete for new wires

By Lynn Doan

Ameren Corp. announced Aug. 2 that it is establishing a new transmission subsidiary focused first on building

lines in Illinois and Missouri and then across the Eastern Interconnection. The company said it has identified \$3 billion in "transmission investment

opportunities" in its two home states

alone that could be developed over the next 10 to 15 years.

and the second s





By Lynn Doan

A \$510 million proposal to transform a network of 120-kV lines along the "thumb" of Michigan into a 345-kV loop to move wind-generated power could become the Midwest ISO's first "multivalue project."

But the designation will not come without a fight.

FERC Update

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR)



With Congress yet to act, FERC proposes new regional transmission pricing, planning requirements

- FERC issued NOPR in June 2010
- Proposed reforms may change the way transmission is planned, built and paid for in the future
- Seeks to correct deficiencies in transmission planning and cost allocation processes
- Comments were due on September 29



NOPR Part 1 Cost Allocation

- Costs allocated "roughly commensurate with benefits" - based on recent decision in 7th Circuit
- No involuntary allocation of project costs to neighboring regions or to those receiving no benefits
- Would require bilateral inter-regional planning and cost allocation agreements with neighboring regions to be negotiated and filed
- FERC will decide cost allocation If regions cannot

The NOPR raises a number of legal issues regarding FERC's authority under the Federal Power Act



NOPR Part 2 Planning

- Planning & Interregional Planning
 - Each region must develop regional transmission plans <u>AND</u> FERC would require greater interregional coordination and the filing of interregional transmission planning agreements
- Federal & State policy requirements must be considered in any planning effort
- Planning process must not discriminate against new entrants



NOPR Part 2 Planning (cont.)

- Eliminating Discrimination in the Planning Process—Right of First Refusal (ROFR) means:
 - Eliminating ROFR in all FERC jurisdictional agreements
 - Creating new procedures for who builds transmission
 - Facilitating new entrant participation in regional planning process on equal basis
 - Ensuring that new entrants and incumbents have right to develop projects they have proposed
 - Allowing comparable cost recovery for non-incumbent developers through regional cost sharing
- Does not preempt state/local laws or regulations



NOPR Part 2 The ROFR Fallout

Arguments against elimination of the ROFR:

- Creates legal and regulatory "uncertainty"
- Creates operational challenges by allowing new entrants onto incumbent system
- Would be detrimental to transmission planning processes underway under Order 890
- Creates financial burdens on incumbents
- Legal—no basis for ROFR reforms & exceeds FERC Authority under FPA

- Arguments for elimination of ROFR:
 - Ensures equal playing field for new entrants
 - Facilitates innovation for new project sponsors to develop needed transmission
 - Does not impair operation of system
 - Promotes the most efficient deployment of transmission CAPEX
 - Will accelerate development and construction of transmission solutions



www.atcllc.com

10

FERC Update Midwest ISO Cost Allocation Filing

- Filed on July 15
- Seeks FERC approval to classify certain transmission projects that deliver multiple benefits in the planning process and proposes to allocate costs of such projects regionally
- "Multi Value Projects"
 - Satisfy a public policy mandate, provides multiple economic benefits in more than one pricing zone, or addresses a reliability issue and provides an economic benefit in more than one pricing zone
 - Costs are allocated via a 100% postage stamp to load and exports on an energy basis (MWh)
 - Does not change cost allocation for other types of transmission projects (e.g., RECB I)

ATC Supports the MVP Approach

- ATC filed comments on September 10
- ATC is seeking clarification on couple aspects, but is supportive of the MVP proposal
 - The proposal takes into account that transmission provides a blend of benefits
 - MVPs create a cost allocation mechanism for projects that address public policy mandates
 - ATC supports regional cost sharing for transmission that provides regional benefits



ATC Supports the MVP Approach (cont.)

- MISO has identified three ATC projects that cost an estimated \$821 million as candidate MVPs that will be evaluated for Appendix A of MTEP 11
- If approved, proposal may face legal challenges







14